

REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the Office Action dated June 30, 2005 etcetera. By said Action, drawing corrections were required. The Examiner rejected claims 10 and 11 as being dependent from a canceled claim. Claims 15-17 were rejected as obvious over Pita et al in view of Baumann et al and Prell. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-8 and 14 were allowed.

By this response, the drawing errors noted in Figs. 1, 1a, 4b and 4c have been corrected. These drawing corrections are not changes to the original drawings, but rather corrections to the drawings previously submitted on 14 April 2005. The drawings now correspond to the drawings as originally filed.

By this amendment, claim 10 has been amended to now depend from claim 8, obviating the rejection of claims 10 and 11.

While traversing the rejection of claims 15-17, Applicants, in the interest of obtaining an early issuance of the application, have canceled claims 15-17 and will prosecute them in a co-pending application of theirs.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 14 are in allowable condition, and this application is now in condition for allowance. An early Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

*Martin Moynihan*

Martin Moynihan  
Registration No. 40,338

Date: September 27, 2005